

REMARKS

The Examiner's continued attention to the application is noted with appreciation.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaufman.

The rejection is traversed in view of the attached Second Rule 131 Declaration of John N. Kesler demonstrating actual reduction to practice of the present invention prior to Kaufman's priority date of March 16, 2001.

The Examiner requested applicable portions or maps of the source code / databases that antedate the Kaufman reference as they correspond to the elements of the independent claims of the present application. This request for additional detail is complied with by the attached Second Declaration. This was not earlier provided because the submission of the source code index with the substantive review by the inventor was considered sufficient to comply with M.P.E.P. § 715.

The undersigned asks that the Examiner note further that the application of Kaufman was expressly abandoned without indication of filing of a continuation application of any kind. Accordingly, no double patenting issues of any kind should apply.

An earnest attempt has been made to respond to each and every ground of rejection advanced by the Examiner, without introduction of new matter or raising new issues. However, should the Examiner have any queries, suggestions or comments relating to a speedy disposition of the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

PEACOCK, MYERS & ADAMS, P.C.



By: _____

Jeffrey D. Myers

Reg. No. 35,964

Direct Dial: (505) 998-1502

Attorney for Applicant
P.O. Box 26927
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87125-6927
Phone: (505) 998-1500
Fax: (505) 243-2542

Customer No. 005179

G:\AMDS\AppQuest\AppQuest_FIN.doc